LAND BANK PIER PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL CONFORMANCE CHECKLIST

PROPOSED LAND BANK TRANSACTION INFORMATION

| 1. Transaction Title: |
|--|
| 2. Applicant Name and Address: |
| 3. Contact Person Information and Phone Number: |
| 4. Location (County and AN): |
| 5. Conservancy Staff Reviewer: |
| 6. Date |
| STAFF DETERMINATION [To be filled out after completing the entirety of this document]: |
| ☐ Based on review of the application and the Land Bank Pier Program Initial Study/Negative Declaration (IS/ND) I have determined that the proposed Land Bank transaction was adequately evaluated under the IS/ND and no further CEQA review is required. |
| ☐ Based on review of the application and the Land Bank Pier Program IS/ND, I have determined that the proposed land bank transaction is not within the scope of Land Bank Pier Program IS/ND and/or would result in additional or more severe environmental effects than described in the IS/ND. Therefore, the proposed transaction will require additional environmental analysis pursuant to CEQA |
| |
| Signature Signature |
| Date: |

ENVIRONMENTAL CONFORMANCE CHECKLIST

DESCRIPTION OF TRANSACTION [Describe the entire application, including all proposed construction/ proposed structures and a description of each component of the transaction requiring coverage and/or restoration credit]:

| A. | Type of Shoreline Structure Seeking Coverage and/or Restoration Credit [if it is a pier, complete Part B below too, if not, skip Part B] |
|-----|--|
| | If none of the below apply, the land bank transaction is not within the scope of the IS/ND. |
| | New Public Pier |
| | New Private Pier |
| | ☐ Placer County |
| | ☐ El Dorado County |
| | Existing Pier Expansion or Pier Modification |
| | Other Shoreline Structure (describe): |
| | |
| B. | Moorings |
| | The transaction does not involve boat slips, lifts, modification/expansion of boat houses, or other mooring structures |
| Des | scribe: |
| | |
| | The transaction would provide access to existing boat slips, lifts, boat houses, or other existing mooring structures, but does not result in new moorings |
| Des | scribe: |
| | |
| | The transaction would result in a new mooring that would not occur without the issuance of this coverage and/or restoration credit (If so, the land bank transaction is not within the scope of the IS/ND) |
| Des | scribe: |
| | |
| C. | Pier Component(s) Seeking Coverage and/or Restoration Credit [check all that apply] |
| | Walkway |
| Des | scription: |
| | |
| | Pier Decking |

| Description | n: |
|--------------|--|
| Steps | |
| Description | n: |
| ☐ Pilings | |
| Description | n: |
| Other (| describe): |
| Pier Comp | onent(s) Location in Shorezone [check all that apply] |
| Pier co | mponent is within Shorezone Tolerance District 1 (TRPA Code Section 83.7) |
| | nd bank transaction involves footpaths and is otherwise consistent with TRPA Code Section 83.7 fnot, the land bank transaction is not within the scope of the IS/ND) |
| ☐ Backsh | ore |
| re | emplies with TRPA land coverage and/or restoration credit regulations in TRPA Code Section elated to land coverage created in the backshore. (If not, the land bank transaction is not within the cope of the IS/ND) |
| Outside | e of the Backshore |
| pr | e coverage is on a littoral parcel (land adjoining or abutting the high-water elevation of a lake) an rovides access to or is accessory to a structure in the shorezone. (If not, the land bank transaction not within the scope of the IS/ND) |
|). Constri | uction Information [all must be checked] |
| | es with TRPA Standard Conditions of Approval for Shorezone Projects |
| | relevant details: |
| ☐ If pile ir | nstallation is required, a turbidity curtain and/or bubble curtain are included |
| Additional | relevant details: |
| ☐ If drillin | ng for pile installation would occur, use of a caisson is included |
| Additional | relevant details: |
| | ite-specific construction best management practices have been included consistent with TRPA ements |

Additional relevant details:

| E. | TRPA Cod | de Compl | liance |
|------|------------|-----------|--------|
| land | bank trans | sactions | seeki |
| L 0L | | 0E af +b. | - TDD |

| All land bank transactions seeking coverage and/or restoration credits under the program are required to comply with Chapters 80–85 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances (TRPA Code) pertaining to the Shorezone. Refer to TRPA Code and consult with the appropriate TRPA planner when confirming adherence. (If the land bank transaction is not consistent with TRPA Code, the land bank transaction is not within the scope of the IS/ND) |
|---|
| ☐ TRPA has reviewed the application and issued a conditional permit for the land bank transaction [if yes, then compliance with TRPA Code can be assumed; if not, application is incomplete and need to contact TRPA to check status] |
| F. Permits and Agency Approvals |
| Land bank transactions that receive coverage and/or restoration credits are also required to obtain other permits and approvals. Check the below boxes to indicate either the permit or approval is underway and/or completed: |
| California State Lands Commission approval |
| completed |
| ☐ underway |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 approval |
| completed |
| ☐ underway |
| California Department of Fish and Wildlife – Notification of Lake or Streambed Alteration |
| completed |
| ☐ underway |
| Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board – 401 Water Quality Certification |
| completed |
| underway |
| Tahoe Regional Planning Agency |
| completed |
| underway |
| ☐ Other [indicate any other permits or approvals required for the land bank transaction] |
| ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS |
| Aesthetics |
| Review Section 3.1, "Aesthetics," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| NoYes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |

| Agriculture and Forest Resources |
|--|
| Review Section 3.2, "Agriculture and Forest Resources," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Air Ouglitus |
| Air Quality Review Section 3.3, "Air Quality," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Biological Resources Review Section 3.4, "Biological Resources," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |

| Cultural Resources Review Section 3.5, "Cultural Resources," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
|--|
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Energy Review Section 3.6, "Energy," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Geology and Soils Review Section 3.7, "Geology and Soils," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |

_____ No _____ Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required]

| Greenhouse Gas Emissions |
|---|
| Review Section 3.8, "Greenhouse Gas Emissions," of IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Hazards and Hazardous Materials Review Section 3.9, "Hazards and Hazardous Materials," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have an unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Hydrology and Water Quality Review Section 3.10, "Hydrology and Water Quality," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |

| Land Use an | d Planning |
|-----------------|--|
| | 3.11, "Land Use and Planning," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than he IS/ND? |
| No | Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe | e the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 3.12, "Mineral Resources," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than |
| No | Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe | e the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 3.13, "Noise," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the |
| No | Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |

| Population and Housing Review Section 3.14, "Population and Housing," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
|---|
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Public Services |
| Review Section 3.15, "Public Services," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Dannatian |
| Recreation Review Section 3.16, "Recreation," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |

| Transportati | ion |
|----------------|--|
| | n 3.17, "Transportation," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique a that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than the IS/ND? |
| No | Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describ | e the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Review Section | ral Resources in 3.18, "Tribal Cultural Resources," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than the IS/ND? |
| No | Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describ | e the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Service Systems |
| unique circum | n 3.19, "Utilities and Service Systems," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any stances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effected in the IS/ND? |
| No | Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |

| Wildfire |
|--|
| Review Section 3.20, "Wildfire," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| Review Section 3.21, "Mandatory Findings of Significance," of the IS/ND. Does this land bank transaction have any unique circumstances that would create a new significant impact or result in a substantially more severe effect than anticipated in the IS/ND? |
| No Yes [if yes, additional environmental review may be required] |
| Please describe the analysis leading to this conclusion: |